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#### Abstract

We use the shifted $1 / N$ expansion method to calculate the energy eigenvalues of the non-polynomial oscillator $V(r)=r^{2}+\lambda r^{2} /\left(1+g r^{2}\right)$. The results thus obtained are in excellent agreement with exact numerical results. It is also shown that the non-polynomial interaction can be made supersymmetric and in this case also the results obtained by the shifted $1 / N$ method matches extremely well with the exact analytic results given by supersymmetry.


## 1. Introduction

The shifted $1 / N$ expansion method [1,2] is an extremely powerful method of solving the Schrödinger equation and of late it has been used in a number of problems [3]. The chief merits of this method are: (i) it is non-perturbative in nature and hence can be used in problems which do not necessarily involve small coupling constant; (ii) the method is simple and gives fairly accurate eigenvalues. The shifted $1 / N$ expansion differs from the large $N$ expansion [4] in the expression of the expansion parameter. In the former case the expansion parameter is $1 / \bar{k}, \bar{k}=N+2 l-a$, whereas in the latter case it is $1 / k$ where $k=N+2 l, N$ is the number of spatial dimensions, $l(l+N-a) \hbar^{2}$ is the square of the eigenvalue of the $N$-dimensional orbital angular momentum and $a$ is the shift chosen by requiring agreement between the $1 / \bar{k}$ expansion and the exact analytic results for the harmonic oscillator and the Coulomb potential.

In the present paper we shall employ the shifted $1 / N$ method to determine the energy eigenvalues of the non-polynomial oscillator represented by the potential $V(r)=r^{2}+\lambda r^{2} /\left(1+g r^{2}\right)$. This interaction is particularly important and its application ranges from purely phenomenological needs of quantum mechanics to field theory in zero dimensions [5] and quantum optics [6]. In particular a number of papers have been devoted to the study of this potential in one dimension [7,8]. In contrast, relatively little information is available in the three-dimensional case. Znojil [9] has constructed the exact wavefunctions and analytic (continued fraction) Green function in one and three dimensions and also the asymptotic power-series expansions of the Green function by the fixed-point perturbation theory.

As mentioned earlier, the non-polynomial oscillator has been studied by a variety of methods [7-9] and accurate results have been obtained. Here we shall derive some approximate results (by the shifted $1 / N$ expansion method) as well as some exact analytical results. To obtain these exact results it will be shown that the non-polynomial interaction is of a supersymmetric nature [10] if the coupling constants satisfy certain relation between them. As soon as we supersymmetrise the interaction analytical
expansions for various ground-state wavefunctions and energies can be found practically without any calculation. It will be shown that the shifted $1 / N$ method gives results which match very well with these exact results and this would be another indicator of the accuracy of our results.

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In $\S 2$ we briefly describe the shifted $1 / N$ expansion method and collect the necessary formulae. In $\S 3$ we show that the non-polynomial interaction has a supersymmetric origin and find expressions for the energy values. Section 4 contains the results and finally $\S 5$ is devoted to a discussion.

## 2. An outline of the shifted $1 / \mathbf{N}$ expansion method

The radial Schrödinger equation in $N$ spatial dimension is [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}+\frac{(k-1)(k-3) \hbar^{2}}{8 m r^{2}}+V(r)\right) \psi(r)=E \psi(r) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& V(r)=r^{2}+\lambda r^{2} /\left(1+g r^{2}\right) \\
& k=N+2 l . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

In terms of the shifted variable $\bar{k}=k-a$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}+\frac{\bar{k}^{2}[1-(1-a) / \bar{k}][1-(3-a) / \bar{k}] \hbar^{2}}{8 m r^{2}}+V(r)\right) \psi(r)=E \psi(r) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to get useful results from a $1 / \bar{k}$ expansion, the large $E$ limit of the potential must be suitably defined [2]. The potential $V(r)$ should behave like $\bar{k}^{2}$ at large $\bar{k}$ since the angular momentum barrier term behaves so. This will give rise to an effective potential which does not vary with $\bar{k}$ at large values of $\bar{k}$, resulting in a sensible zeroth-order classical result. So we consider the following equation [2]:
$-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \psi(r)}{\mathrm{d} r^{2}}+\bar{k}^{2}\left(\frac{\hbar^{2}[1-(1-a) / \bar{k}][1-(3-a) / \bar{k}]}{8 m r^{2}}+\frac{V(r)}{Q}\right) \psi(r)=E \psi(r)$
where $Q$ is a constant to be specified later.
The shifted $1 / N$ expansion method consists in solving equation (4) systematically in terms of the expansion parameter $1 / \bar{k}$. The leading contribution to the energy comes from the effective potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{eff}}(r)=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 m r^{2}}+\frac{V(r)}{Q} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it is assumed that $V(r)$ is sufficiently well behaved so that $V_{\text {eff }}(r)$ has a minimum at $r=r_{0}$ and there are well defined bound states. Then the following relationship is valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 m r_{0}^{3} V^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)=\hbar^{2} Q \quad V^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)=\left.(\mathrm{d} V / \mathrm{d} r)\right|_{r=r_{0}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{0}$ is the root of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
N+2 l-2+ & (2 n+1)\left(3+\frac{r_{0} V^{\prime \prime}\left(r_{0}\right)}{V^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \left.=\left(\frac{4 m r_{0}^{3} V^{1}\left(r_{0}\right)}{\hbar^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad V^{\prime \prime}\left(r_{0}\right)=\left(\mathrm{d}^{2} V / \mathrm{d} r^{2}\right) \right\rvert\, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

(The derivation of equation (7) is given in [2].)
Once $r_{0}$ is determined, the leading term in $E$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{k}^{2} V_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(r_{0}\right)=\frac{\bar{k}^{2}}{r_{0}^{2}}\left(\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 m}+\frac{r_{0}^{2} V\left(r_{0}\right)}{Q}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next contribution is of order $\bar{k}$ and it is [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\bar{k}}{r_{0}^{2}}\left[\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar w-\frac{(2-a) \hbar^{2}}{4 m}\right] . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The shift $a$ is chosen so that this contribution vanishes. Therefore we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=2-2(2 n+1) m w / \hbar . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The successive contributions to the energy are determined by the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\bar{k}^{1 / 2}\left(r-r_{0}\right) / r_{0} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in equation (4) and expanding about $x=0$ in powers of $x$. We are presenting here only the essential steps because the algorithm for the shifted $1 / N$ expansion has been developed previously [2].

The energy values are given by expansion in powers of $1 / \bar{k}$ where $\bar{k}=n+2 l-a$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n, l}=\frac{\bar{k}}{r_{0}^{2}}\left[\frac{\hbar^{2} \bar{k}}{8 m}+\frac{r_{0}^{2} \bar{k} V\left(r_{0}\right)}{Q}+\frac{\beta^{(1)}}{\bar{k}}+\frac{\beta^{(2)}}{\bar{k}^{2}}+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{k}^{3}}\right)\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta^{(1)}=\frac{\hbar^{2}(1-a)(3-a)}{8 m}+(1+2 n) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{2}+3\left(1+2 n+2 n^{2}\right) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{4}-1 / \hbar w \\
& \times\left[\tilde{\varepsilon}_{1}^{2}+6(1+2 n) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3}+\left(11+30 n+30 n^{2}\right) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3}^{2}\right]  \tag{13}\\
& \beta^{(2)}=(1+2 n) \tilde{\delta}_{2}+3\left(1+2 n+2 n^{2}\right) \tilde{\delta}_{4}+5\left(3+8 n+6 n^{2}+4 n^{3}\right) \tilde{\delta}_{6} \\
&-\left[(1+2 n) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{2}^{2}+12\left(1+2 n+2 n^{2}\right) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{2} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{4}+2\left(21+59 n+51 n^{2}+34 n^{3}\right) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{4}^{2}\right. \\
&+2 \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1} \tilde{\delta}_{1}+6(1+2 n) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1} \tilde{\delta}_{3}+30\left(1+2 n+2 n^{2}\right) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1} \tilde{\delta}_{5}+6(1+2 n) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3} \tilde{\delta}_{1} \\
&\left.+2\left(11+30 n+30 n^{2}\right) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3} \tilde{\delta}_{3}+10\left(13+40 n+42 n^{2}+28 n^{3}\right) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3} \tilde{\delta}_{5}\right] / \hbar w \\
&+\left[4 \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1}^{2} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{2}+36(1+2 n) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{2} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3}+8\left(11+30 n+30 n^{2}\right) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{2} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3}^{2}+24(1+2 n) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1}^{2} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{4}\right. \\
&\left.+8\left(31+78 n+78 n^{2}\right) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{4}+12\left(57+189 n+225 n^{2}+150 n^{3}\right) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3}^{2} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{4}\right] /(\hbar w)^{2} \\
&-\left[8 \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1}^{3} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3}+108(1+2 n) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1}^{2} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3}^{2}+48\left(11+30 n+30 n^{2}\right) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3}^{3}\right. \\
&\left.+30\left(31+109 n+141 n^{2}+94 n^{3}\right) \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3}^{4}\right] /(\hbar w)^{3} .  \tag{14}\\
& \tilde{\varepsilon}_{j}=\frac{\varepsilon_{j}}{(2 m w \mid \hbar)^{j / 2}}  \tag{15}\\
& \tilde{\delta}_{j}=\frac{\delta_{j}}{(2 m w \mid \hbar)^{j / 2}}  \tag{16}\\
&=\frac{\hbar}{2 m}\left(3+\frac{r_{0} V^{\prime \prime}\left(r_{0}\right)}{V^{1}\left(r_{0}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{17}\\
& \varepsilon_{1}=(2-a) \hbar^{2} / 2 m  \tag{18}\\
& \varepsilon_{2}=-3 \hbar^{2}(2-a) / 4 m  \tag{19}\\
& \varepsilon_{3}=-\hbar^{2} / 2 m+r_{0}^{5} V^{1 I I}\left(r_{0}\right) / 6 Q
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{4}=5 \hbar^{2} / 8 m+r_{0}^{6} V^{1 \mathrm{~V}}\left(r_{0}\right) / 24 Q  \tag{20}\\
& \delta_{1}=-(1-a)(3-a) \hbar^{2} / 4 m  \tag{21}\\
& \delta_{2}=3(1-a)(3-a) \hbar^{2} / 8 m  \tag{22}\\
& \delta_{3}=(2-a) \hbar^{2} / m  \tag{23}\\
& \delta_{4}=-5(2-a) \hbar^{2} / 4 m  \tag{24}\\
& \delta_{5}=-3 \hbar^{2} / 4 m+r_{0}^{7} V^{\mathrm{V}}\left(r_{0}\right) / 120 Q  \tag{25}\\
& \delta_{6}=7 \hbar^{2} / 8 m+r_{0}^{8} V^{\mathrm{VI}}\left(r_{0}\right) / 720 Q . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Supersymmetric character of the interaction $V(r)=r^{2}+\lambda r^{2} /\left(1+g r^{2}\right)$ and analytical expressions for the energy eigenvalues

Before showing that the non-polynomial oscillator represents a supersymmetric interaction, let us recall some essential features of supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSYQM) in one dimension [12]. In one dimension the Hamiltonian of SUSYQM is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{\mathrm{s}}=\left\{Q^{+}, Q\right\}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
H_{+} & 0 \\
0 & H_{-}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{27}\\
& H_{ \pm}=-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} x^{2}+V_{ \pm}(x)  \tag{28}\\
& V_{ \pm}(x)=W^{2}(x) \pm W^{\prime}(x) . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $W(x)$ is called the superpotential and $Q$ and $Q^{+}$are the supercharges whose explicit forms are

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q=(p+\mathrm{i} W)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{30}\\
& Q^{+}=(p-\mathrm{i} W)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) . \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

The relations obeyed by $Q, Q^{+}$and $H^{\mathrm{s}}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[H^{\mathrm{s}}, Q\right]=\left[H^{\mathrm{s}}, Q^{+}\right]=0}  \tag{32}\\
& Q^{2}=Q^{+2}=0 \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

The eigenstates of $H^{\mathrm{s}}$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{n}(x)=\binom{\varphi_{+}^{n}(x)}{\varphi_{-}^{n}(x)} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If supersymmetry is unbroken, then the ground-state energy vanishes and the groundstate wavefunctions are of the form

$$
\binom{\varphi_{+}^{0}(x)}{0} \quad \text { or } \quad\binom{0}{\varphi_{-}^{0}(x)}
$$

The choice between the two ground-state wavefunctions is dictated by the normalisability of $\varphi_{ \pm}^{0}(x)$ respectively. The forms of $\varphi_{ \pm}^{0}(x)$ can also be determined easily. To do this we recall if $|\psi\rangle$ is a ground state then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q|\psi\rangle=Q^{+}|\psi\rangle=0 . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (30) and (31) we then find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{ \pm}^{0}(x) \sim \exp \left( \pm \int^{x} W(t) \mathrm{d} t\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show that the non-polynomial oscillator is supersymmetric we have to chose a suitable superpotential and to this end we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(r)=\mu r+\frac{2 g r}{1+g r^{2}}+\frac{\nu}{r} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the choice (37) the supersymmetric potential corresponding to the fermionic sector ( + ) can easily be found from (29):

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{+}(r)=\mu^{2} r^{2}+\frac{2 g-4 \mu+4 \nu g}{\left(1+g r^{2}\right)}+\frac{\nu(\nu-1)}{r^{2}}+(2 \mu \nu+5 \mu) . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we can write the potential corresponding to the non-polynomial oscillator in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r)=r^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{g}-\frac{\lambda / g}{\left(1+g r^{2}\right)} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the effective potentials appearing in the radial Schrödinger equation corresponding to (39) and the Schrödinger equation corresponding to (38) are given respectively by

$$
\begin{align*}
& V^{\mathrm{eff}}(r)=r^{2}-\frac{\lambda / g}{\left(1+g r^{2}\right)}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^{2}}  \tag{40}\\
& V_{+}^{\mathrm{eff}}(r)=\mu^{2} r^{2}+\frac{2 g-4 \mu+4 \nu g}{\left(1+g r^{2}\right)}+\frac{\nu(\nu-1)}{r^{2}} . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we can identify the two Schrödinger equations with the effective potentials provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=-\left(2 g^{2}-4 \mu g+4 \nu g^{2}\right) \quad \mu= \pm 1 ; \nu=l+1 . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation between the energy eigenvalues is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}^{n}-\lambda / g=E_{+}^{n}-2 \mu \nu-5 \mu \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (36) and (37) the ground-state wavefunction corresponding to the fermionic sector $(+)$ is found to be
$\varphi_{+}^{0}(r) \sim \exp \left(\int^{r} W(t) \mathrm{d} t\right)=r^{t+1}\left(1+g r^{2}\right) \exp \left(\mu r^{2} / 2\right)$.
However, (44) will be an acceptable ground state only if $\varphi_{+}^{0}(r)$ satisfies proper boundary conditions, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{+}^{0}(r)=0 \\
& \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{+}^{0}(r)=0 \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

(We point out that even though we described SUSYQM in one dimensions it can be applied to the problem at hand only if we remain on the half-line $(0, \infty)$; in that case
we can identify one-dimensional SUSYQM with the three-dimensional radial problem. In SUSYQM this amounts to putting up a barrier at the origin [13].) Equation (44) tells us that $\varphi_{+}^{0}(r)$ will be normalisable if $\mu=-1$ and $l>0$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{+}^{0}(r) \sim r^{l+1}\left(1+g r^{2}\right) \exp \left(-r^{2} / 2\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{+}^{0}=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the ground-state energy of the non-polynomial oscillator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{l}^{0}=\lambda / g+2(l+1)+5 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to note that for each value of $l$ we have a new superpotential, i.e. a new SUSYQM system, but in each case the ground state of SUSYQM is related to the $n=0$ ground state of the non-polynomial oscillator according to (48). Now $E_{l}^{0}$ can be obtained for various values of $\lambda$ and $g$, chosen according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=-\left[2 g^{2}+4 g+4(l+1) g^{2}\right] . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section we shall compare ground-state energies found by the shifted $1 / N$ expansion method with the exact values given by (48).

## 4. Results

For potential (2) the successive derivatives are given by the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V^{\mathrm{I}}(r)=2 r+2 \lambda r /\left(1+g r^{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{50}\\
& V^{\mathrm{II}}(r)=2+2 \lambda /\left(1+g r^{2}\right)^{2}-8 \lambda g r^{2} /\left(1+g r^{2}\right)^{3}  \tag{51}\\
& V^{\mathrm{II}}(r)=24 g \lambda\left(g r^{2}-1\right) /\left(1+g r^{2}\right)^{4}  \tag{52}\\
& V^{\mathrm{IV}}(r)=24 g \lambda\left(10 g r^{2}-5 g^{2} r^{4}-1\right) /\left(1+g r^{2}\right)^{5}  \tag{53}\\
& V^{\mathrm{V}}(r)=24 g^{2} r\left(30+30 g^{2} r^{4}-100 g r^{2}\right) \lambda /\left(1+g r^{2}\right)^{6}  \tag{54}\\
& V^{\mathrm{IV}}(r)=720 \lambda g^{2}\left(1+35 g^{2} r^{4}-21 g r^{2}-7 g^{3} r^{6}\right) /\left(1+g r^{2}\right)^{7} . \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

For any given choice of $n$ and $l$, equation (7) becomes a transcendental equation when $V^{1}(r)$ and $V^{11}(r)$ are substituted into it from equations (50) and (51). This transcendental equation can be solved numerically to obtain $r_{0}$. Substitution of $r_{0}$ into equations (6), (12)-(26) and (52)-(55) immediately gives the energy eigenvalues. In all our calculations we have taken $\hbar=2 m=1$.

In table 1 we list our second-order energy values calculated from equation (12) corresponding to $l=-1,0$ (for comparison with the one-dimensional case [8]) and compare them with those given by Bessis and Bessis [14] and Lai et al [15] wherever possible. As is evident from table 1 the agreement is excellent in almost all the cases, the exception being the ground-state values at $(\lambda=1, g=1),(\lambda=10, g=10),(\lambda=100$, $g=100$ ) and ( $\lambda=0.1, g=2$ ) and the ground-state and second excited state at ( $\lambda=100$, $g=10$ ). In these cases the higher-order correction terms are essential because we found that the first-order correction gives better agreement than the second-order correction.

In table 2 , the shifted $1 / N$ expansion results (with second-order correction) have been compared with the exact supersymmetric results given by (48) and (49). The maximum error obtained in these cases is $0.02 \%$. Finally, we have given the energy values for $2 p$ and $3 s-3 d$ states for various values of $\lambda$ and $g$ in table 3 .

Table 1. The first four energy levels for different values of $\lambda$ and $g$ calculated from the shifted $1 / N$ expansion method. The numbers in the round and square brackets correspond to the variational results of Bessis and Bessis [14] and the Pade approximation results of Lai et al [15] respectively.

|  | $\lambda$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1 | 10 | 100 |
| $g=0.1$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.043140 \\ (1.043174) \\ {[1.043173]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.202990 \\ (1.203040) \\ {[1.203039]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.380501 \\ (1.380532) \\ {[1.380532]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.250165 \\ (3.250261) \\ {[3.250261]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.976142 \\ 19.976180) \\ {[9.976180]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 3.120039 \\ (3.120082) \\ {[3.120081]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.570678 \\ (3.570809) \\ {[3.570809]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.079807 \\ (4.079883) \\ {[4.079883]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.618-83 \\ (9.619066) \\ {[9.619066]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.781198 \\ (29.781191) \\ {[29.781191]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5.181319 \\ (5.181095) \\ {[5.181094]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.872099 \\ (5.871584) \\ {[5.871583]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.668245 \\ (6.667919) \\ {[6.667919]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.728957 \\ (15.729336) \\ {[15.729336]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.292716 \\ (49.292691) \\ {[49.292690]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 7.231179 \\ (7.231010) \\ {[7.231009]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.122420 \\ (8.121871) \\ {[8.121871]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.167067 \\ (9.166567) \\ {[9.166567]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.590711 \\ (21.591007) \\ {[21.591005]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.5129918 \\ (68.513052) \\ {[68.513062]} \end{array}$ |
| $g=0.5$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.028982 \\ (1.031215) \\ {[1.031214]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.144596 \\ (1.151564) \\ {[1.151563]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.285758 \\ (1.292951) \\ {[1.292950]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.016427 \\ (3.016854) \\ {[3.016854]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.692159 \\ 19.692158) \\ {[9.692157]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 3.074019 \\ (3.073903) \\ {[3.073902]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.363617 \\ (3.363801) \\ {[3.363801]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.712292 \\ (3.713902) \\ {[3.713902]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.481044 \\ (8.482271) \\ {[8.482270]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.362637 \\ (28.362598) \\ {[28.362597]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5.090873 \\ (5.093069) \\ {[5.093060]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.460438 \\ (5.463214) \\ {[5.463211]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.930119 \\ (5.920632) \\ {[5.920632]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.940612 \\ (12.948033) \\ {[12.948038]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.632923 \\ (45.636573) \\ {[45.636572]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 7.103912 \\ (7.105850) \\ {[7.105850]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.520581 \\ (7.527881) \\ {[7.527881]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.043514 \\ (8.052379) \\ {[8.052378]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.683664 \\ (16.679365) \\ {[16.679411]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.573534 \\ (61.577873) \\ {[61.577873]} \end{gathered}$ |
| $g=1$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.021216 \\ (1.024187) \\ {[1.024123]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.099451 \\ (1.118589) \\ {[1.118552]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.198184 \\ (1.232372) \\ {[1.232353]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.777245 \\ (2.782330) \\ {[2.782330]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.359312 \\ (9.359418) \\ {[9.359418]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 3.051999 \\ (3.051651) \\ {[3.051526]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.258369 \\ (3.255842) \\ {[3.255802]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.512157 \\ (3.507421) \\ {[3.507397]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.405425 \\ (7.417506) \\ {[7.417506]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.706230 \\ (26.705965) \\ {[26.705965]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5.052327 \\ (5.059287) \\ {[5.058990]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.264521 \\ (5.295063) \\ {[5.294916]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.537385 \\ (5.589861) \\ {[5.589833]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.811607 \\ (10.701026) \\ {[10.704480]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.419437 \\ (41.441010) \\ {[41.441099]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 7.062590 \\ (7.065498) \\ {[7.064966]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.312734 \\ (7.324540) \\ {[7.234540]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.625135 \\ (7.648317) \\ {[7.649068]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.495879 \\ (13.388324) \\ {[13.390003]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.820199 \\ (53.839093) \\ {[53.839092]} \end{gathered}$ |
| $g=10$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.005731 \\ (1.005943) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.029354 \\ (1.029685) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.060918 \\ (1.059297) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.693636 \\ (1.580025) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.308762 \\ (5.793947) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 3.008751 \\ (3.008811) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.043796 \\ (3.044051) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.087574 \\ (3.088091) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.873211 \\ (3.879037) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.611174 \\ (11.572198) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5.008275 \\ (5.008280) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.041422 \\ (5.041412) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.082822 \\ (5.082848) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.824408 \\ (5.832769) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.993148 \\ (13.62879) \end{array}$ |

Table 1. (continued)

|  | $\lambda$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1 | 10 |  |
| 7.009182 | $7.045-41$ | 7.091875 | 7.918053 | 16.102810 |  |
| $(7.009038)$ | $(7.045187)$ | $(7.090270)$ | $(7.903155)$ | $(15.988706)$ |  |
| $g=1001.000755$ | 1.003778 | 1.007554 | 1.075263 | 1.718594 |  |
| $(1.000841)$ | $(1.004905)$ | $(1.016820)$ | $(1.084064)$ | $(1.836385)$ |  |
| 3.000985 | 3.004923 | 3.009844 | 3.098414 | 3.984322 |  |
| $(3.000983)$ | $(3.004916)$ | $(3.019664)$ | $(3.098317)$ | $(3.983099)$ |  |
| 5.000979 | 5.004897 | 5.009791 | 5.097876 | 5.978945 |  |
| $(5.000926)$ | $(5.004638)$ | $(5.018551)$ | $(5.092762)$ | $(5.928353)$ |  |
| 7.000992 | 7.004959 | 7.009911 | 7.099078 | 7.990810 |  |
| $(7.000985)$ | $(7.004922)$ | $(7.019690)$ | $(7.098449)$ | $(7.984445)$ |  |

Table 2. Comparison of the ground-state energy values calculated from the shifted $1 / N$ expansion with the exact analytic SUSY results.

|  |  |  | Ground-state energy value |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $g$ |  |  | $\lambda$ | $1 / N$ expansion |
|  |  | Exact susy |  |  |
| 0.1 | 0 | -0.46 | 2.400520 | 2.4 |
| 0.1 | 1 | -0.5 | 4.000116 | 4.0 |
| 0.1 | 2 | -0.54 | 5.599965 | 5.6 |
| 0.01 | 0 | -0.0406 | 2.399989 | 2.4 |
| 0.01 | 1 | -0.041 | 4.899974 | 4.9 |
| 0.1 | -1 | -0.42 | 0.801177 | $0.80^{+}$ |

$\dagger$ This result has also been obtained by Fack et al in [8].
In table 4, we present energy values corresponding to $\lambda=0.1, g=2$ obtained by the present method, by Bessis and Bessis [14], by Lai et al [15] and Handy [8] together with the percentage deviations from Handy's result. It is seen from table 3 that the energy values corresponding to the first excited state obtained by the present method are in better agreement with Handy's result [8] (by comparison with values obtained by Bessis and Bessis [14] and Lai et al [15]) though the ground-state result is less accurate, as can be expected in the $1 / N$ formalism.

## 5. Discussion

In this paper we have applied the shifted $1 / N$ expansion to find energy eigenvalues of the non-polynomial oscillator $V(r)=r^{2}+\lambda r^{2} /\left(1+g r^{2}\right)$. The results we have found are in excellent agreement with accurate results of $[14,15]$. Furthermore, it has been shown that the non-polynomial interaction has a supersymmetric character provided the coupling constants satisfy a certain relation between them. In this case, using the susy identification, ground states can be found almost trivially. The accuracy of the shifted $1 / N$ method has also been tested in a different way: we have calculated the

Table 3. The energy values for $2 p, 3 \mathrm{~s}$-3d states for various values of $\lambda$ and $g$.

| $\lambda$ | State | $g$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1 | 10 | 100 |
| 0.1 | 2p | 5.186338 | 5.100976 | 5.065610 | 5.009406 | 5.000994 |
|  | 3s | 11.309171 | 11.115476 | 11.067785 | 11.009416 | 11.000993 |
|  | 3p | 9.276635 | 9.117522 | 9.070745 | 9.009504 | 9.000999 |
|  | 3d | 7.243927 | 7.119005 | 7.073713 | 7.009622 | 7.000994 |
| 0.5 | 2p | 5.893494 | 5.500470 | 5.327161 | 5.047058 | 5.004968 |
|  | 3 s | 12.519642 | 11.578069 | 11.338469 | 11.047083 | 11.004970 |
|  | 3p | 10.350311 | 9.586705 | 9.353303 | 9.047530 | 9.004970 |
|  | 3d | 8.177754 | 7.592014 | 7.368046 | 7.048123 | 7.004982 |
| 1 | 2p | 6.704090 | 5.989770 | 5.652112 | 5.094107 | 5.009935 |
|  | 3 s | 13.969894 | 12.158447 | 11.675801 | 11.094163 | 11.009936 |
|  | 3 p | 11.622346 | 10.171198 | 9.705584 | 9.095056 | 9.009948 |
|  | 3d | 9.261812 | 8.176220 | 7.734778 | 7.096239 | 7.009960 |
| 10 | 2p | 15.813628 | 13.244687 | 11.071273 | 5.940689 | 5.099346 |
|  | 3 s | 32.609516 | 23.005401 | 17.702350 | 11.941423 | 11.099363 |
|  | 3 p | 27.293083 | 20.125410 | 15.962474 | 9.950350 | 9.099463 |
|  | 3d | 21.836043 | 17.394651 | 14.089958 | 14.089958 | 7.962262 |
| 100 | 2p | 49.389615 | 46.083221 | 42.236452 | 14.363739 | 5.993565 |
|  | 3 s | 106.088741 | 89.718266 | 72.718487 | 20.389517 | 11.993716 |
|  | 3 p | 87.539397 | 76.654728 | 64.802034 | 18.482766 | 9.994694 |
|  | 3d | 68.801562 | 62.857148 | 55.976148 | 16.611028 | 7.996048 |

Table 4. Energy values corresponding to the ground state and the first excited state when $\lambda=0.1$ and $g=2$.

|  | Ground state |  | First excited state |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $E_{0}$ | Deviation from Handy [8] | $E_{1}$ | Deviation from Handy [8] |
| Roy et al | $1.019046{ }^{+}$ | 0.182\% | 3.032875 | 0.003\% |
| Bessis and Bessis [14] | 1.017894 | 0.009\% | 3.031773 | 0.006\% |
| Lai et al [15] | 1.017281 | 0.069\% | 3.032957 | 0.032\% |
| Handy [8] | 1.017185 |  | 3.032772 |  |

$\dagger$ Only first-order correction has been taken.
same states, as those given by susy, by the shifted $1 / N$ method and these energy values match the exact susy values extremely well. In fact it can be shown that susy provides a check for the numerical calculation of eigenvalues for many well known potentials for which analytical results cannot be obtained otherwise. Further work in this direction is in progress.
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